

THERE IS NO 290-THEOREM FOR HIGHER DEGREE FORMS

VÍTĚZSLAV KALA AND OM PRAKASH

ABSTRACT. We study the universality of forms of degree greater than 2 over rings of integers of totally real number fields. We show that such universal forms always exist, but cannot be characterized by any variant of the 290-Theorem of Bhargava–Hanke.

1. INTRODUCTION

The arithmetic theory of quadratic forms has a long and colorful prehistory ranging from mathematicians in ancient Babylon, Egypt, Greece, and India, to Fermat, Lagrange, and Gauss. In the 20th century, Ramanujan, Dickson, and Willerding initiated classifications of *universal* quadratic forms, i.e., positive definite forms that represent all positive integers, such as the sum of four squares $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$. These efforts culminated in the 290-Theorem of Bhargava–Hanke [BH11] saying that a positive definite quadratic form Q is universal if it represents

$$1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23, 26, \\ 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 37, 42, 58, 93, 110, 145, 203, \text{ and } 290.$$

This list of integers is minimal and unique in the sense that for each integer n from the list, there is a form that represents all the positive integers except for n .

The 290-Theorem has been extended and generalized in several directions, including to subsets of positive integers (the conjectural 451-Theorem of Rouse [Rou14] concerns quadratic forms representing all odd positive integers) and to representations of quadratic forms. In both of these settings, finite *criterion sets* characterizing universality exist [KKO05], but they need not be unique [EKK13].

The goal of this article is to consider universality of *m-ic forms*, i.e., homogeneous polynomials of degree $m > 2$, and the question whether they satisfy a version of the 290-Theorem.

The first example of an *m-ic form* is the sum of m th powers, about which Waring in his 1770 book *Meditationes Algebraicae* asked if there is a constant $g(m)$ such that every positive integer is the sum of at most $g(m)$ m th powers of positive integers. Only in 1909, Hilbert established the existence of $g(m)$ for all $m \geq 1$; e.g., we have $g(1) = 1, g(2) = 4, g(3) = 9, g(4) = 19$. After the works of many mathematicians (e.g., see [KW90, Lin43, Niv44, Rie54, VW02]), the values of $g(m)$ have now been almost completely determined, with $g(m) = 2^m + \lfloor (3/2)^m \rfloor - 2$ for all except possibly finitely many m .

Let us call an integral *m-ic form* Q in n variables *positive definite* if $Q(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0$ (this immediately forces m to be even), and *universal* if, moreover, for every positive integer a there is $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $Q(x) = a$. While Waring’s problem and, more generally, representations of integers as sums of m th powers (e.g., the hard problems concerning the sums of two and three rational or integral cubes) received considerable attention, we are not aware of any results on other universal *m-ic forms*.

A partial reason for this is that the structural theory of *m-ic forms* is more complicated than in the case of quadratic forms, e.g., we do not have a good analogue of the Gram matrix of a quadratic form. Nevertheless, various aspects of the algebraic theory of quadratic forms

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E76, 11D25, 11D41, 11D85, 11P05, 11R80.

Key words and phrases. universal quadratic form, higher degree form, Waring’s problem, totally real number field.

The authors were supported by Czech Science Foundation grant 21-00420M. O. P. was supported by Charles University programmes PRIMUS/24/SCI/010 and UNCE/24/SCI/022.

were extended, starting with Harrison [Har75] who established Witt theory for higher degree forms over arbitrary fields of sufficiently large characteristics. Subsequently, results about abstract quadratic forms were extended to higher degree forms by various authors (e.g., see [HLYZ21, Mor07, Pum20, Pum13, Pum09, Pum06]). Also, the negative solution to Hilbert's tenth problem by Matiyasevich, Robinson, Davis, and Putnam (see [Dav73]) means that higher degree Diophantine equations can be undecidable, and so one should not be surprised that studying integers represented by m -ic forms may be hard (and sometimes even impossible!).

Although at least some universal m -ic form exists (namely, the sum of $g(m)$ m th powers), our first main result shows that they can not be characterized by any finite criterion set. Even more precisely, for every suitable finite subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is an m -ic form that does not represent exactly the elements of \mathcal{A} . (Here and in the following, we consider also the empty set to be finite.)

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be finite. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a positive definite m -ic form Q that represents exactly $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \mathcal{A}$.*
- (2) *For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that $ab^m \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Moreover, Q can be chosen of rank $< (B + 1)(g(m) + 1)$, where B is the largest element of \mathcal{A} .

We will prove this as Theorem 3.3 by explicitly constructing the form Q . The fundamental difference from the case of quadratic forms is that there are infinitely many positive definite m -ic forms that represent (say) two given positive integers a, b , namely, $ax^m + \delta x^2 y^{m-2} + by^m$ for any $\delta > 0$, whereas there are only finitely many such quadratic forms $ax^2 + cxy + by^2$, as the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality limits the possible range for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, if one were to try to carry out an escalation process as in the proof of the 290-Theorem, in each step one would have to consider infinitely many forms, and so it should not be surprising that the whole argument may fail.

It is very natural to extend the study of universal quadratic forms from \mathbb{Z} to the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K in a totally real number field K ; a quadratic form over K is then called universal if it represents all totally positive integers in \mathcal{O}_K . Maaß [Maa41] proved that the sum of three squares $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is universal over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, but then Siegel [Sie45] showed that if the sum of squares is universal over K , then K must be \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. However, a universal quadratic form exists over every totally real number field, thanks to the result of Hsia–Kitaoka–Kneser [HKK78]. This raises the question of how many variables these universal forms need. Blomer–Kala [BK15] proved that for every positive integer M , there are infinitely many real quadratic fields that do not admit a universal quadratic form with less than M variables. Subsequently, this result was extended to cubic fields by Yatsyna [Yat19], and then to fields of degree d , where d is divisible by 2 or 3 [Kal23]. Regardless, finite universality criterion sets exist over all totally real number fields, even for representations of quadratic forms [CO23].

Similarly, Waring's problem has been extended to number fields, however, one encounters the possibility that a totally positive algebraic integer may not be expressible as the sum of m th powers at all. For example, $3 + \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is totally positive, but cannot be written as the sum of squares, because every square $(a + b\sqrt{2})^2 = a^2 + 2b^2 + 2ab\sqrt{2}$ has even coefficient at $\sqrt{2}$. In fact, Siegel [Sie45, Theorem III] established that, for an even integer $m > 2$, the sum of m th powers is never universal over the ring of integers in a totally real number field K , unless $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

To overcome this issue, one considers the subring $\sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$ of \mathcal{O}_K generated by m th powers of algebraic integers. Waring's problem then asks what is the smallest integer $G = G_K(m)$ such that for every totally positive $\alpha \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$ with sufficiently large norm ($N(\alpha) > P_{K,m}$), the equation $\alpha = x_1^m + x_2^m + \cdots + x_G^m$ is solvable (in totally non-negative algebraic integers x_1, \dots, x_G)?

Siegel [Sie44] solved this problem by generalizing the circle method to number fields; he obtained an upper bound $G_K(m) \leq dm(2^{m-1} + d) + 1$. Later, the work of Birch [Bir61, Theorem

2] and Ramanujam [Ram63, Proposition 3] gave upper bounds on $G_K(m)$ independent of d ; together, we have $G_K(m) \leq \max(8m^5, 2^m + 1)$. Let us note that while $G_K(m)$ can be thus nicely bounded independently of the number field, the “sufficiently large norm” bound $P_{K,m}$ depends on K and is harder to control.

Overall, it is unclear whether universal higher degree forms over number fields even exist! However, we show that they do exist in Theorem 5.4 using Waring’s problem and some estimates from geometry of numbers.

One can again consider the existence of criterion sets, except that now, by the homogeneity of an m -ic form Q , it is natural to consider only representations of elements up to multiplication by m th powers of units. We again show (as Theorem 6.2) that finite criterion sets do not exist, in a rather strong and precise sense.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a totally real number field, $m > 2$ an even positive integer, and \mathcal{A}_0 a finite subset of \mathcal{O}_K^+ . Set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \cdot \mathcal{O}_K^{\times m} = \{\delta \varepsilon^m \mid \delta \in \mathcal{A}_0, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a totally positive definite m -ic form that represents exactly $\mathcal{O}_K^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}$.*
- (2) *For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ we have that $\alpha\beta^m \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.*

This theorem includes Theorem 1.1 as a special case. However, the separate proof of Theorem 1.1 is easier, and yields an explicit bound on the rank of the form Q , and so we include both in the paper.

To conclude, let us mention that our results leave very much open the extensions of other results on universal quadratic forms to the m -ic setting. In particular, we do not know much about the minimal ranks of universal m -ic forms over number fields – but expect that this will turn out to be a fruitful direction of future research!

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Pavlo Yatsyna and the UFOCLAN group for interesting and helpful discussions about the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES OVER \mathbb{Z}

Let us start by formally defining an m -ic form.

Definition 2.1. *Let m and n be positive integers. Then an m -ic form in n variables over \mathbb{Z} is*

$$Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = m}} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n},$$

where $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call m the degree of Q and n its rank.

We say that Q represents an integer c if there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying

$$Q(x) = c.$$

In this paper, our focus will be on positive definite m -ic forms.

Definition 2.2. *An m -ic form Q is positive definite if $Q(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$.*

By homogeneity of Q , we have

$$Q(-x) = (-1)^m Q(x)$$

for all x . Therefore, whenever Q is positive definite, its degree m must be even. Since we are interested in positive definite forms, m will always be a positive even integer.

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be m -ic forms over \mathbb{Z} in n_1 and n_2 variables. We call the m -ic form Q given by

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}) = Q_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}) + Q_2(y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$$

the *orthogonal sum* of Q_1 and Q_2 and we denote it by $Q_1 \perp Q_2$.

Recall Hilbert's theorem regarding Waring's problem.

Theorem 2.3 ([Hil09]). *For each fixed m , there exists $g(m) < \infty$ such that every positive integer can be expressed as the sum of at most $g(m)$ m th powers.*

Moreover, it is conjectured [VW02] that $g(m) = 2^m + \lfloor (3/2)^m \rfloor - 2$ for every m . This has been verified for $m \leq 471, 600, 000$ by Kubina–Wunderlich [KW90]. Unconditionally it is known that $g(m) \leq 2^m + \lfloor (3/2)^m \rfloor + \lfloor (4/3)^m \rfloor - 2$ (cf. [VW02, bottom of page 1]), from which one can easily get that $g(m) < 2^{m+1}$, if one would like to have a concise upper bound.

3. MAIN RESULT OVER \mathbb{Z}

Let us begin by showing that one can not generalize 290-Theorem for higher degree forms. Throughout this section, $m > 2$ is an even integer.

Proposition 3.1. *Given a positive integer B such that $B + 1$ is m th powerfree, there is a positive definite, m -ic form Q that represents all the positive integers $\leq B$ but not $B + 1$.*

Proof. For any $\delta \geq B$ consider the form

$$Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_B) = \sum_{i=1}^B i x_i^m + \delta \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq B} x_i^2 x_j^{m-2},$$

which is positive definite, because each variable has an even exponent and each coefficient is positive.

When we plug-in $x_j = 1$ and $x_i = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, we get $Q(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = i$. Hence, Q represents every positive integer $\leq B$.

Now we claim that Q does not represent $B + 1$. For contradiction, suppose there exists $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_B) \in \mathbb{Z}^B$ such that $Q(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_B) = B + 1$. If there exist $i < j$ such that both y_i and y_j are nonzero, then we have

$$Q(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_B) \geq i y_i^m + j y_j^m + \delta y_i^2 y_j^{m-2} \geq i + j + \delta > B + 1.$$

Thus there exists a unique i such that y_i is non-zero, and we have $Q(0, 0, \dots, y_i, \dots, 0) = i y_i^m = B + 1$, which is not possible, since $i \leq B$ and $B + 1$ is m th powerfree. \square

To establish our main result over \mathbb{Z} , we need the following proposition about representation of large elements.

Proposition 3.2. *Let B be a positive integer. The positive definite m -ic form*

$$Q_B(x_{01}, \dots, x_{0g(m)}, \dots, x_{B1}, \dots, x_{Bg(m)}) = \sum_{j=0}^B (B + 1 + j) \sum_{i=1}^{g(m)} x_{ji}^m$$

represents exactly $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, B\}$. Moreover, $\text{rank}(Q_B) = (B + 1)g(m)$.

Proof. Q_B is the sum of non-negative terms, and so it is positive definite. Further, as all its coefficients are greater than B , Q_B does not represent any integer $\leq B$. The estimate of rank of Q_B is also clear.

Let us now take an integer $n \geq B + 1$. First, it is easy to observe that n can be written as

$$n = (B + 1)y_0 + (B + 2)y_1 + \dots + (2B + 1)y_B \text{ for some } y_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} :$$

To see this, let $j = 0, \dots, B$ be such that $n \equiv j \pmod{B + 1}$, and set

- $y_h = 0$ for all $h \neq 0, j$,
- $y_j = 1$, $y_0 = (n - j)/(B + 1) - 1$ if $j \neq 0$,
- $y_0 = n/(B + 1)$ if $j = 0$.

(This observation can be viewed as an easy result on the Frobenius coin problem.)

Further, by Theorem 2.3 each $y_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is represented by the m -ic form $\sum_{i=1}^{g(m)} x_{ji}^m$. Thus Q_B represents n as we wanted. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be finite. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a positive definite m -ic form Q that represents exactly $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \mathcal{A}$.*
- (2) *For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that $ab^m \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Moreover, Q can be chosen of rank $< (B+1)(g(m)+1)$, where B is the largest element of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. ((1) \Rightarrow (2)) Assume that Q represents exactly $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \mathcal{A}$, and take $a \notin \mathcal{A}$. Thus we have $Q(x) = a$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and then $Q(bx) = ab^m$ for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ by homogeneity of Q . As Q represents ab^m , we must have $ab^m \notin \mathcal{A}$, as we wanted to establish.

((2) \Rightarrow (1)) Assume that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $ab^m \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let B be the largest element of \mathcal{A} and let Q_B be the form from Proposition 3.2; we established that Q_B represents exactly $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, B\}$ and has rank $(B+1)g(m)$.

To construct the desired form Q , we need to arrange the representation of small elements that do not lie in \mathcal{A} . To do that, let us denote $\{1, 2, \dots, B\} \setminus \mathcal{A} = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ with $k < B$ and define

$$Q'(y_1, \dots, y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i y_i^m + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \delta y_i^2 y_j^{m-2}$$

for some $\delta > B$. Now, consider the form

$$Q = Q_B \perp Q'.$$

Clearly, Q is positive definite and has rank $(B+1)g(m) + k < (B+1)g(m) + B < (B+1)(g(m)+1)$.

It is clear that Q represents $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \mathcal{A}$, because Q_B represents all integers $\geq B$ and Q' represents all the integers $\leq B$ which are not in \mathcal{A} .

Suppose now for contradiction that Q represents some $a \in \mathcal{A}$. As a is represented by the orthogonal sum $Q = Q_B \perp Q'$, we have $B \geq a = u + v$ where u is represented by Q_B and v is represented by Q' . However, the only integer $u \leq B$ that is represented by Q_B is $u = 0$, and so $a = v$ is represented by Q' .

Now we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.1: There exist $y_1, \dots, y_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $Q'(y_1, \dots, y_k) = a$. If there exist $i < j$ such that y_i and y_j are both nonzero, then $B \geq a = Q'(y_1, \dots, y_k) > \delta y_i^2 y_j^{m-2} > B$, which is not possible.

Hence there exist a unique i such that $y_i \neq 0$. But then we have $b_i y_i^m = Q'(0, 0, \dots, y_i, \dots, 0) = a \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus by the assumption, we have $b_i \in \mathcal{A}$, which contradicts the choice of $b_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, B\} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. \square

4. PRELIMINARIES OVER NUMBER FIELDS

Throughout the rest of the paper, K will be a *totally real* number field of degree d , i.e., all its embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ have image in \mathbb{R} .

We denote its *ring of integers* and group of units by \mathcal{O}_K and \mathcal{O}_K^\times . An element $\alpha \in K$ is *totally positive* if $\sigma_i(\alpha) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. For a subset $S \subset K$, we denote by S^+ the set of all totally positive elements of S .

For $\alpha \in K$, its *norm* and *trace* are $N(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha)\sigma_2(\alpha)\cdots\sigma_d(\alpha)$ and $Tr(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha) + \sigma_2(\alpha) + \cdots + \sigma_d(\alpha)$. Also, we define the *house* $|\alpha|$ of α as $|\alpha| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\sigma_i(\alpha)|$.

Let us consider the Minkowski embedding $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given by $\sigma(\alpha) = (\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_d(\alpha))$. When $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$, then $\sigma(\alpha)$ lies in the totally positive octant $\mathbb{R}^{d,+} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_i > 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d .

We now define m -ic forms over K .

Definition 4.1. *Let m a positive integer. An m -ic form over K is*

$$Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = m}} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n},$$

where $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

An m -ic form Q over K is totally positive definite if for all embeddings $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$\sigma(Q)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = m}} \sigma(a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}) x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n}$$

is positive definite over \mathbb{R} in the sense that $\sigma(Q)(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0$.

Observe that the degree m of a totally positive definite form Q must be even, as we have $Q(-x_1, -x_2, \dots, -x_n) = (-1)^m Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

We say that $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is represented by an m -ic form Q , if there is $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{O}_K^n$ such that $Q(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \alpha$. A totally positive definite m -ic form Q is *universal* if Q represents all elements of \mathcal{O}_K^+ .

Let Q_1 and Q_2 be m -ic forms over K in n_1 and n_2 variables. The *orthogonal sum* of Q_1 and Q_2 is the m -ic form $Q = Q_1 \perp Q_2$ given by $Q(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}) = Q_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}) + Q_2(y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$.

We will use the following result concerning Waring problem over number fields (see [Ram63, Page 137, Paragraph 2]). Recall that $\sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$ denotes the subring of \mathcal{O}_K generated by m th powers of elements of \mathcal{O}_K .

Theorem 4.2. *Let K be a totally real number field. There exist constants $P = P(K, m)$ and $G = G(K, m) \leq \max(8m^5, 2^m + 1)$ such that every totally positive $\alpha \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$ with $N(\alpha) > P$ can be written as the sum of at most $G = G_K(m)$ m th powers of totally positive integers in K .*

Note that $\sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$ has finite index as an additive subgroup in \mathcal{O}_K . To see this, observe that $m!\mathcal{O}_K \subset \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ thanks to the identity $m!x = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{n-1}{k} ((x+k)^m - k^m)$, see, e.g., [BS62, Page 142]. So there is a finite set of representatives of classes of \mathcal{O}_K modulo $\sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$. Further, we can add elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$ to the representatives to assume that all the representatives are totally positive.

Notation. For the rest of article, let us fix (additive group) representatives $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ for $\mathcal{O}_K / \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$.

Finally, we note the following norm inequality, which follows from Hölder's inequality.

Lemma 4.3. [O'M80, Lemma 3.1] *Let K be a totally real number field of degree d . Given $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ we have*

$$N(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{1/d} \geq N(\alpha_1)^{1/d} + N(\alpha_2)^{1/d}.$$

In particular, we have that if $\alpha \succ \beta \succ 0$, then $N(\alpha) > N(\beta)$ (as can be also directly seen from the definition of norm).

5. GEOMETRY OF NUMBERS ESTIMATES

In this section, we begin by introducing several lemmas that will help us to represent totally positive algebraic integers with large norms. Towards the end, we establish the existence of universal higher degree form.

Throughout this section, we fix a totally real number field K of degree d and an even positive integer m .

Consider the subgroup

$$\mathcal{O}_K^{\times m} = \{\varepsilon^m \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\} \subset \mathcal{O}_K^{\times, +}.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be a compact fundamental domain for the action of $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_K^{\times m})$ on

$$U^+ = \mathbb{R}^{d,+} \cap \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d,+} \mid \prod_{i=1}^d x_i = 1 \right\},$$

i.e., \mathcal{G} is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d,+}$ such that for each $x \in U^+$ there is $\varepsilon^m \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$ with $\sigma(\varepsilon^m)x \in \mathcal{G}$.

Further, let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}^+ \cdot \mathcal{G}$. This is a fundamental domain for the action of $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_K^{\times m})$ on the totally positive octant $\mathbb{R}^{d,+}$, i.e., for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d,+}$ there is $\varepsilon^m \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$ with $\sigma(\varepsilon^m)x \in \mathcal{F}$.

In fact, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} can be described quite explicitly by Shintani's unit theorem [Neu99, Theorem 9.3], but we will not need this.

Notation. For the rest of article, we fix some fundamental domains \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} as above.

Lemma 5.1. *There is a constant $0 < c < 1$ with the following property:*

For every $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ such that

- a) $\beta\varepsilon^m \succ \lfloor cN(\beta)^{1/d} \rfloor$, and*
- b) $N(\beta\varepsilon^m - n_X) > c^d N(\beta)$ if $N(\beta) > X$, where $n_X = \lfloor cX^{1/d} \rfloor$.*

Proof. First of all, let us prove that there is a constant $0 < c < 1$ such that for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad x_i > 2c(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{1/d} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

To establish this, consider the map $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d) \mapsto \min(g_1, \dots, g_d)$. Since \mathcal{G} is compact and π is continuous, it has a minimum $\ell = \min\{\pi(g) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\} \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let now $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{F}$ and denote $N = (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{1/d}$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $x_i = g_i N$ for some $(g_1, \dots, g_d) \in \mathcal{G}$. But then it follows that $x_i = g_i N \geq \ell N$, and so (5.1) holds with any $2c < \ell$.

By the definition of \mathcal{F} , for every $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ there is an $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ such that $\sigma(\beta\varepsilon^m) \in \mathcal{F}$. From (5.1) it follows that

$$\sigma_i(\beta\varepsilon^m) > 2cN(\beta)^{1/d} \geq \lfloor cN(\beta)^{1/d} \rfloor$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. This immediately implies part a), $\beta\varepsilon^m \succ \lfloor cN(\beta)^{1/d} \rfloor$.

Assume now $N(\beta) > X$ and let $n_X = \lfloor cX^{1/d} \rfloor$. We have

$$\sigma_i(\beta\varepsilon^m) - n_X > 2cN(\beta)^{1/d} - cN(\beta)^{1/d} = cN(\beta)^{1/d}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$N(\beta\varepsilon^m - n_X) = \prod_{i=1}^d (\sigma_i(\beta\varepsilon^m) - n_X) > c^d N(\beta). \quad \square$$

Recall that in the following lemma, the elements $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ denote the representatives for $\mathcal{O}_K / \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$, as introduced at the end of Section 4.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. There is a positive integer $M = M_n \geq n^d$ such that for every $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ with $N(\beta) > M$, there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$ such that $\beta\varepsilon^m \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\beta\varepsilon^m = n + \theta_j + \gamma$ for some $0 \prec \gamma \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$ that satisfies $N(\gamma) > c^d N(\beta)$, where $c > 0$ is the constant from Lemma 5.1.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq n^d}$ be such that $n_M = \lfloor cM^{1/d} \rfloor > n + \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \lceil \theta_i \rceil$, where c is the constant from Lemma 5.1. Note that we have $n_M \succ n + \theta_i \succ 0$ for all i .

By Lemma 5.1 a), for every $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ with $N(\beta) > M$ there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ such that $\sigma(\beta\varepsilon^m) \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$(5.2) \quad \beta\varepsilon^m \succ \lfloor cN(\beta)^{1/d} \rfloor \succeq \lfloor cM^{1/d} \rfloor \succ n + \theta_i$$

for all i .

As $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ form the representatives for $\mathcal{O}_K / \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$, there is j such that $\beta\varepsilon^m - \theta_j \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$. As $n = 1 + \dots + 1 \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$, we also have $\gamma = \beta\varepsilon^m - \theta_j - n \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$. By (5.2), we have $\gamma \succ 0$.

Finally, using $n_M \succ n + \theta_i$ for all i and Lemma 5.1 b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\gamma) &= N(\beta\varepsilon^m - \theta_j - n) = \prod_{i=1}^d (\sigma_i(\beta\varepsilon^m) - \sigma_i(\theta_j + n)) \\ &> \prod_{i=1}^d (\sigma_i(\beta\varepsilon^m) - n_M) = N(\beta\varepsilon^m - n_M) > c^d N(\beta), \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted. \square

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a positive integer L such that the totally positive definite m -ic form*

$$Q_1(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_G) = \sum_{i=1}^r \theta_i x_i^m + \sum_{i=1}^G y_i^m$$

represents every $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ with $N(\beta) > L$. We have $L \geq M_0$, where M_0 is the integer from Lemma 5.2 for $n = 0$.

Proof. Since all θ_i s are totally positive and m is even, Q is totally positive definite. Set $L = \max(M_0, c^{-d}P)$, where c and P are from Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Let now $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ be an arbitrary element of norm $> L$. By Lemma 5.2 (with $n = 0$), there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and a $1 \leq j \leq r$ such that $\beta\varepsilon^m = \theta_j + \gamma$ with $0 \prec \gamma \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$. We then have $\beta = \theta_j\varepsilon^{-m} + \gamma\varepsilon^{-m}$ and $\gamma\varepsilon^{-m} \succ 0$.

By Lemma 5.2 we have $N(\gamma\varepsilon^{-m}) > c^d N(\beta) > c^d L \geq P$. Now, it follows from Theorem 4.2 that $\gamma\varepsilon^{-m}$ is represented by the sum of m th powers $\sum_{i=1}^G y_i^m$. Thus, by putting $x_k = \varepsilon^{-1}$ and $x_i = 0$ for all $i \neq k$, we see that Q_1 represents β . \square

We are now prepared to prove the existence of a universal m -ic form.

Theorem 5.4. *Given a totally real number field K and an even positive integer $m > 2$, there exists a universal m -ic form over K .*

Proof. Let L be from Lemma 5.3. There are only finitely many totally positive integers with norm $\leq L$, up to multiplication by elements of $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$. So, let us fix a set of representatives $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ for them and consider the m -ic form

$$q(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s) = \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i z_i^m.$$

We will now prove that the m -ic form

$$Q = Q_1 \perp q$$

is universal. Since Q_1 and q are totally positive definite it follows that Q is also totally positive definite, and so it suffices to prove that Q represents every element of \mathcal{O}_K^+ .

Lemma 5.3 ensures that Q represents all totally positive integers with norm $> L$.

If $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ with $N(\beta) \leq L$, then $\beta = \alpha_j \varepsilon^m$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ and some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. By setting $z_j = \varepsilon$ and $z_i = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, we see that β is represented by q , and thus also by Q . \square

6. CONSTRUCTION OF m -IC FORM OVER TOTALLY REAL NUMBER FIELDS

Using Theorem 5.4 we now establish that there is a form that represents all totally positive integers of sufficiently large norm.

Proposition 6.1. *Fix a positive integer L from Lemma 5.3. For each $B > L$, there exists a totally positive definite, m -ic form Q_B that represents all elements of \mathcal{O}_K^+ of norm $> B$ and does not represent any element of norm $\leq B$.*

Proof. Let B, n be positive integers such that $n^d > B > L$. Let $M = M_n \geq n^d$ be the integer from Lemma 5.2 used for n . Let $C = \max(M, c^{-d}B)$, where $0 < c < 1$ is the constant from Lemma 5.1. Note that we have $C > B > L$.

Let \mathcal{S} be a (finite) set of representatives for $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ with $B < N(\alpha) \leq C$ modulo $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$, and consider the m -ic form

$$Q_B = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha Q,$$

where Q is the universal form from Theorem 5.4. Since Q is totally positive definite and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$, it follows that Q_B is totally positive definite.

Let us prove that Q_B represents exactly the elements of norm $> B$.

If $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ is an element of norm $\leq B$, then β is not the sum of totally positive integers of norm $> B$ (e.g., by Lemma 4.3). Thus Q_B does not represent β .

Let $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ be an element with $B < N(\beta) \leq C$. Then we can write $\beta = \alpha \varepsilon^m$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ and some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. Since Q is universal, it represents the totally positive unit ε^m . Hence β is represented by Q_B .

By Lemma 5.2, for every $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ with $N(\beta) > C$, there is $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ such that

$$\beta \varepsilon^m = n + \theta_j + \gamma,$$

for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and some $0 \prec \gamma \in \sum \mathcal{O}_K^m$. Furthermore, we have $N(\gamma) > c^d N(\beta) > c^d C \geq B$, and so also $N(\theta_j + \gamma) > B$.

Letting $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon^{-1}$ and $\beta_1 = \varepsilon^{-m}(\theta_j + \gamma)$, we see that

$$\beta = n \varepsilon_1^m + \beta_1 \text{ with } B < N(\beta_1) < N(\beta).$$

If β_1 still satisfies $N(\beta_1) > C$, then we can further decompose it as above, $\beta_1 = n \varepsilon_2^m + \beta_2$, and then eventually $\beta_{i-1} = n \varepsilon_i^m + \beta_i$. As the norms of the elements β_i are decreasing, eventually we obtain

$$\beta = n(\varepsilon_1^m + \dots + \varepsilon_k^m) + \beta_k \text{ with } B < N(\beta_k) \leq C.$$

As n and β_k lie in the same $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$ -classes as some representatives $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$, we can group the elements with the same representative together to write

$$\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha \delta_\alpha$$

for some $\delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$.

Each element δ_α is represented by the universal form Q , and so β is represented by Q_B as we wanted. \square

Now we are finally ready to prove the number field analogue of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 6.2. *Let K be a totally real number field, $m > 2$ an even positive integer, and \mathcal{A}_0 a finite subset of \mathcal{O}_K^+ . Set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \cdot \mathcal{O}_K^{\times m} = \{\delta \varepsilon^m \mid \delta \in \mathcal{A}_0, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a totally positive definite m -ic form that represents exactly $\mathcal{O}_K^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}$.*
- (2) *For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ we have that $\alpha \beta^m \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. ((1) \Rightarrow (2)) The proof of this implication is the same as in Theorem 3.3.

((2) \Rightarrow (1)) Assume that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we have that $\alpha \beta^m \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Fix a positive integer L from Lemma 5.3 and let $B = \max(L + 1, \max_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}(N(\alpha)))$. Let Q_B be a form from Proposition 6.1 that represents all elements of \mathcal{O}_K^+ of norm $> B$ and does not represent any element of norm $\leq B$.

Let \mathcal{S} be a (finite) set of representatives of classes of elements $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}$, $N(\alpha) \leq B$, up to multiplication by elements of $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$. Note that by the assumption on \mathcal{A} , for every $\varepsilon^m \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$ we have $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\alpha \varepsilon^m \in \mathcal{O}_K^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}$, and so \mathcal{S} is well-defined.

Finally, fix some $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ with $N(\mu) > B$ and let

$$Q = Q_B \perp q, \text{ where } q = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha x_\alpha^m + \mu \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathcal{S}} x_\alpha^2 x_\beta^{m-2}.$$

The form Q is clearly totally positive definite and m -ic, and so we need to show that it represents exactly $\mathcal{O}_K^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}$.

Consider $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$ and assume for contradiction that Q represents γ . We have $N(\gamma) \leq B$ and as Q_B does not represent any element of norm $\leq B$, γ is represented by q , i.e., $\gamma = q(x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{S})$ for some $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

If $x_\alpha \neq 0, x_\beta \neq 0$ for some $\alpha \neq \beta$, then

$$\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha x_\alpha^m + \mu \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathcal{S}} x_\alpha^2 x_\beta^{m-2} \succ \mu x_\alpha^2 x_\beta^{m-2}.$$

But then $B \geq N(\gamma) > N(\mu x_\alpha^2 x_\beta^{m-2}) \geq N(\mu) > B$, a contradiction.

Thus exactly one $x_\alpha \neq 0$ and $x_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \neq \alpha$. But then $\mathcal{A} \ni \gamma = q(x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{S}) = \alpha x_\alpha^m$. By the assumption on \mathcal{A} , this implies that $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, a contradiction with the choice of \mathcal{S} .

Now let $\gamma \notin \mathcal{A}$. If $N(\gamma) \leq B$, then $\gamma = \alpha \varepsilon^m$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\varepsilon^m \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times m}$. Thus αx_α^m represents γ , which in turn implies that q and Q also represent γ .

If $N(\gamma) > B$, then γ is represented by Q_B , and thus also by Q . □

REFERENCES

- [BH11] M. Bhargava and J. Hanke, *Universal quadratic forms and the 290-theorem*, 2011.
- [Bir61] B. J. Birch, *Waring's problem in algebraic number fields*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 449–459. MR 143754
- [BK15] V. Blomer and V. Kala, *Number fields without n -ary universal quadratic forms*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **159** (2015), no. 2, 239–252. MR 3395370
- [BS62] P. T. Bateman and R. M. Stemmler, *Waring's problem for algebraic number fields and primes of the form $(p^r - 1)/(p^d - 1)$* , Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 142–156. MR 138616
- [CO23] W. K. Chan and B.-K. Oh, *Can we recover an integral quadratic form by representing all its subforms?*, Adv. Math. **433** (2023), Paper No. 109317, 20. MR 4646715
- [Dav73] M. Davis, *Hilbert's tenth problem is unsolvable*, Amer. Math. Monthly **80** (1973), 233–269. MR 317916
- [EKK13] N. D. Elkies, D. M. Kane, and S. D. Kominers, *Minimal S -universality criteria may vary in size*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **25** (2013), no. 3, 557–563. MR 3179676
- [Har75] D. K. Harrison, *A Grothendieck ring of higher degree forms*, J. Algebra **35** (1975), 123–138. MR 379370
- [Hil09] D. Hilbert, *Beweis für die Darstellbarkeit der ganzen Zahlen durch eine feste Anzahl n^{ter} Potenzen (Waringsches Problem)*, Math. Ann. **67** (1909), no. 3, 281–300. MR 1511530
- [HKK78] J. S. Hsia, Y. Kitaoka, and M. Kneser, *Representations of positive definite quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **301** (1978), 132–141. MR 560499
- [HLYZ21] H.-L. Huang, H. Lu, Y. Ye, and C. Zhang, *Diagonalizable higher degree forms and symmetric tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl. **613** (2021), 151–169. MR 4195104
- [Kal23] V. Kala, *Number fields without universal quadratic forms of small rank exist in most degrees*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **174** (2023), no. 2, 225–231. MR 4545204
- [KKO05] B. M. Kim, M.-H. Kim, and B. K. Oh, *A finiteness theorem for representability of quadratic forms by forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **581** (2005), 23–30. MR 2132670
- [KW90] J. M. Kubina and M. C. Wunderlich, *Extending Waring's conjecture to 471, 600, 000*, Math. Comp. **55** (1990), no. 192, 815–820. MR 1035936
- [Lin43] U. V. Linnik, *An elementary solution of the problem of Waring by Schnirelman's method*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. **12(54)** (1943), 225–230. MR 9777
- [Maa41] H. Maass, *Über die Darstellung total positiver Zahlen des Körpers $R(5)$ als Summe von drei Quadraten*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. **14** (1941), 185–191. MR 5505
- [Mor07] P. J. Morandi, *A Springer theorem for higher degree forms*, Math. Z. **256** (2007), no. 1, 221–228. MR 2282266
- [Neu99] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. MR 1697859

- [Niv44] I. Niven, *An unsolved case of the Waring problem*, Amer. J. Math. **66** (1944), 137–143. MR 9386
- [O'M80] O. T. O'Meara, *On indecomposable quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **317** (1980), 120–156. MR 581340
- [Pum06] S. Pumplün, *Indecomposable forms of higher degree*, Math. Z. **253** (2006), no. 2, 347–360. MR 2218705
- [Pum09] ———, *u -invariants for forms of higher degree*, Expo. Math. **27** (2009), no. 1, 37–53. MR 2503042
- [Pum13] ———, *Round forms of higher degree*, Results Math. **63** (2013), no. 1-2, 657–674. MR 3009712
- [Pum20] ———, *Diagonal forms of higher degree over function fields of p -adic curves*, Int. J. Number Theory **16** (2020), no. 1, 161–172. MR 4059129
- [Ram63] C. P. Ramanujam, *Sums of m -th powers in p -adic rings*, Mathematika **10** (1963), 137–146. MR 168550
- [Rie54] G. J. Rieger, *Zu Linniks Lösung des Waringschen Problems: Abschätzung von $g(n)$* , Math. Z. **60** (1954), 213–234. MR 63393
- [Rou14] J. Rouse, *Quadratic forms representing all odd positive integers*, Amer. J. Math. **136** (2014), no. 6, 1693–1745. MR 3282985
- [Sie44] C. L. Siegel, *Generalization of Waring's problem to algebraic number fields*, Amer. J. Math. **66** (1944), 122–136. MR 9778
- [Sie45] ———, *Sums of m th powers of algebraic integers*, Ann. of Math. (2) **46** (1945), 313–339. MR 12630
- [VW02] R. C. Vaughan and T. D. Wooley, *Waring's problem: a survey*, Number theory for the millennium, III (Urbana, IL, 2000), A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002, pp. 301–340. MR 1956283
- [Yat19] P. Yatsyna, *A lower bound for the rank of a universal quadratic form with integer coefficients in a totally real number field*, Comment. Math. Helv. **94** (2019), no. 2, 221–239. MR 3942784

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: vitezslav.kala@matfyz.cuni.cz

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: prakash@karlin.mff.cuni.cz